Overview

Recreational activities, particularly hiking and biking, have experienced a notable surge in popularity, a trend exacerbated by the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. This increased engagement presents a unique opportunity for individuals to establish meaningful connections with forested landscapes. While the uptick in recreational usage is positive for human well-being and nature appreciation, it concurrently raises concerns about potential impacts on forest health, specifically pertaining to soil quality, wildlife habitats, and the broader ecological equilibrium within forest ecosystems.

Numerous studies have underscored the positive impacts of outdoor recreation on human well-being and mental health, emphasizing the importance of nature experiences in mitigating stress and promoting physical activity (Bowen et al., 2018; Bratman et al., 2019). However, the dynamic interplay between heightened recreational activities and potential consequences for forest health necessitates a comprehensive understanding of the ecological implications.

The Forest Ecosystem Monitoring Cooperative (FEMC) embarked on a project spanning 2022 and 2023, specifically designed to delve into the multifaceted interactions between recreation, soil vulnerability, wildlife disturbance, and overall forest health. By employing advanced geospatial analysis techniques, the project aimed to elucidate how recreational activities impact soil quality and wildlife habitats. Moreover, the study sought to create actionable products that could aid in the effective management of recreation in forest ecosystems.

The findings of this research endeavor are expected to contribute valuable insights for land managers, conservationists, and policymakers, facilitating a balanced approach that considers both the benefits of recreation and the conservation of vital ecological components. By exploring the nuanced relationships between human activities and forest health, the project aims to inform sustainable management practices, ensuring that recreational engagement with forested landscapes can coexist harmoniously with the preservation of ecological integrity.

This project aimed to investigate the impact of recreational hiking and biking on forest health. The analysis utilized several geospatial data sources, including ForWarn sentinel data, STRAVA recreational use data, NLCD forest data, and USDA soil survey data. The primary objectives were to determine whether recreational activities affect canopy health and if so, whether those effects can be detected with ForWarn; identify areas where soils are more susceptible to recreational use; and assess the disturbance of wildlife in forested landscapes used for recreational activities.

Methods

- 1. ForWarn Sentinel data: We integrated ForWarn sentinel data to monitor forest health indicators, such as vegetation stress and disturbance events, and examined whether these metrics could be linked to recreational activities.
- 2. NLCD Forest and USDA Soil Survey data: We combined NLCD forest data and USDA soil survey data to create geospatial datasets that allowed us to identify areas with soils susceptible to recreation and to assess how these soils were being used on the landscape.
- 3. Wildlife disturbance analysis: Our research also explored the potential impact of recreation on wildlife. We mapped areas where wildlife was likely to be disturbed by outdoor activities, and determined both how often that disturbance occurs and the average size of undisturbed forested parcels.
- 4. STRAVA recreational use data: We leveraged STRAVA's recreational use data, which shows usage density on all trails across the project region, to assess the spatial distribution and intensity of hiking and biking activities—this added an additional dimension to our above analyses.

Strava Forwarn Findings

- Canopy Health and Recreational Use:
- Significant but weak positive correlation between forest canopy health and recreational use. Areas with hiking and biking recreation tend to exhibit a greener and healthier forest canopy. Two-sample t-test shows a statistically significant difference, reinforcing the positive relationship.
- Remote Sensing with ForWarn:
- ForWarn NDVI deviance from norm during the growing season used for remote sensing. Statistically significant relationship found between areas with recreational activities and generalized changes in forest canopy health.
- Correlation indicates a positive association, but causative link not established.
- Positive Relationship Implications:
- Positive correlation implies that recreation-prone areas have a greener and healthier forest canopy during the growing season.
- Statistical significance reinforces the observation of improved forest health in recreation-prone areas. Cautious interpretation required, acknowledging the complexity of forest ecosystems and the multifactorial nature of observed changes.
- **Recreation and NDVI Relationship:**
- Linear model reveals nuanced associations between Strava hiking/biking and NDVI deviance.
- Intercept (8.369e+00) denotes baseline mean NDVI deviance.
- Hiking shows a slight, statistically significant decrease (p-value: 0.008668), while biking exhibits a slight increase with significance (p-value: 0.000486).
- Limited explanatory power (Multiple R-squared: 8.939e-05, Adjusted R-squared: 7.79e-05) emphasizes the complexity of recreational impacts on forest health.



Hiking per Square Kilometer

Biking per Square Kilometer

Sum of Average Hike per Capita

Sum of Average Bike per Capita





- appealing to both residents and tourists.

Average Hike and Bike per Capita:

- Vermont (VT):
- High per capita values may indicate a strong outdoor culture, attracting both residents and tourists seeking recreational experiences.
- New Hampshire (NH):
- Similar to the per square kilometer analysis, NH stands out, suggesting a high level of recreational engagement among residents and potential tourists.

Robinov, Jerome Lee, Jennifer Pontius

State Strava Data Coordination: Aaron Bergdahl, Jessica A Cancelliere, Savannah Ferreira, Jeff Harriman, Jeff Ward, Joshua Halman, Nicole Keleher, Eric Peterson, Alana Russell, Elisabeth Ward, Jeffrey Ward, Larissa Robinov **Expert Committee:**

Johanna Lyons, Min Kook Kim, Claire Polfus, Eric Peterson, Danielle J Johnson, Daniel Evans, Matthew Gallo, John Schmid

Acknowledgements & Authors

Authors: Soren Donisvitch, Alison Adams, Matthias Sirch, Elissa Schuett, Larissa

